		DISTRICT COURT T OF NEW JERSEY
IN RE: VALSARTAN,	LOSARTAN,	CIVIL ACTION NUMBER:
and IRBESARTAN PRO	DUCTS	1:19-md-02875-RMB-SAK
LIABILITY LITIGATION	ON	Status Conference
		via Teams videoconferencing
Mitchell H. Cohen : 4th and Cooper Str		. Courthouse
Camden, New Jersey	08101	
Wednesday, Decembe Commencing at 3:04		
BEFORE:		BLE THOMAS I. VANASKIE (RET.),
	SPECIAL MAS	TEK
APPEARANC	<u>E S:</u>	
HONIK LLC BY: RUBEN HONIK,		
1515 Market Street Philadelphia, Penn	sylvania 19102	
Co-Lead Counsel fo	r MDL Plaintif	fs
MAZIE SLATER KATZ BY: ADAM M. SLATE	•	•
CHRISTOPHER J 103 Eisenhower Par	. GEDDIS, ESQU kway, Suite 20	
Roseland, New Jerse Co-Lead Counsel fo	ey 07068	
KANNER & WHITELEY,		-~
BY: CONLEE S. WHI 701 Camp Street		
New Orleans, Louis		-Party Payor Economic Loss
John	J. Kurz, Offic John_Kurz@njd (856)57	=
	rded by mechan	nical stenography; transcript -aided transcription.

```
1
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
 2
     NIGH GOLDENBERG RASO & VAUGHN, PLLC
         DANIEL A. NIGH, ESQUIRE
 3
          MARLENE GOLDENBERG, ESQUIRE
     14 Ridge Square NW, Third Floor
     Washington, D.C. 20016
 4
     Co-Lead Class Counsel for Third-Party Payor Economic Loss
 5
     RUEB STOLLER DANIEL, LLP
 6
     BY: BEHRAM V. PAREKH, ESQUIRE
     515 S. Figueroa Street
 7
     Suite 1550
     Los Angeles, California 90071
 8
     Counsel for Plaintiffs' Executive Committee and all Plaintiffs
 9
     FARR, FARR, EMERICH, HACKETT, CARR & HOLMES
     BY: GEORGE T. WILLIAMSON, ESQUIRE
10
     99 Nesbit Street
     Punta Gorda, Florida 33950
11
     Counsel for Plaintiffs
12
     SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
          JESSICA DAVIDSON, ESQUIRE
13
     One Manhattan West
     Suites 42-128
14
     New York, New York 10001
     Counsel for Defendants Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceutical Co.,
15
     Ltd., Huahai U.S., Inc., Prinston Pharmaceutical, Inc., and
     Solco Healthcare U.S., LLC (collectively ZHP)
16
     SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
17
     BY: NINA R. ROSE, ESQUIRE
     1440 New York Avenue, N.W.
18
     Washington, D.C. 20005
     Counsel for Defendants Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceutical Co.,
     Ltd., Huahai U.S., Inc., Prinston Pharmaceutical, Inc., and
19
     Solco Healthcare U.S., LLC (collectively ZHP)
20
     KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
21
     BY: ALEXIA R. BRANCATO, ESQUIRE
     601 Lexington Avenue
22
     New York, New York 10022
     Counsel for Defendants Torrent Pharma, Inc. and
23
     Torrent Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (collectively Torrent)
24
                     (Appearances continued onto next page)
25
```

```
1
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
     PIETRAGALLO GORDON ALFANO BOSICK & RASPANTI LLP
 2
     BY: FRANK STOY, ESQUIRE
 3
          JASON M. REEFER, ESQUIRE
     One Oxford Centre, 38th Floor
 4
     Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219
     Counsel for Defendant Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
 5
     GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP
 6
     BY: VICTORIA DAVIS LOCKARD, ESQUIRE
     3333 Piedmont Road, NE, Suite 2500
 7
     Atlanta, Georgia 30305
     Counsel For Defendants Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.,
 8
     Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Actavis LLC, Actavis Pharma,
     Inc. (collectively Teva)
 9
     BARNES & THORNBURG, LLP
     BY: KARA KAPKE, ESQUIRE
10
          KRISTEN L. RICHER, ESQUIRE
11
          PAUL QUINCY, ESQUIRE
     11 South Meridian Street
12
     Indianapolis, IN 46204
     Counsel for Retailer Defendants and CVS Pharmacy, Inc., and
13
     Walmart, Walgreens
14
     ULMER & BERNE LLP
     BY: JEFFREY D. GEOPPINGER, ESQUIRE
15
     600 Vine Street
     Suite 2800
16
     Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
     Counsel for Wholesaler Defendants and AmerisourceBergen
17
     FALKENBERG IVES LLP
18
     BY: KIRSTIN B. IVES, ESQUIRE
     230 W Monroe Street, Suite 2220
19
     Chicago, Illinois 60606
     Counsel for Defendant Humana
20
     HILL WALLACK, LLP
21
     BY: WILLIAM MURTHA, ESQUIRE
     21 Roszel Road
22
     Princeton, New Jersey 08540
     Counsel for Defendants Hetero Drugs and Hetero Labs
23
24
                  (Appearances continued onto next page.)
25
```

4 1 APPEARANCES: (Continued) 2 NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP BY: D'LESLI DAVIS, ESQUIRE 3 2200 Ross Avenue Suite 3600 Dallas, Texas 75201 4 Counsel for Defendant Mckesson Corp. 5 6 Also present: 7 Larry MacStravic, The Courtroom Deputy 8 Loretta Smith, Esquire, Judicial Law Clerk to the Honorable Robert B. Kugler (Ret.) 9 Rosemarie Bogdan, Esquire 10 Corey Weinstein, Esquire 11 Zalman Kass, Esquire 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

```
1
                (PROCEEDINGS held via Teams videoconferencing before
 2
     the Honorable Thomas I. Vanaskie (Ret.), Special Master, at
 3
     3:04 p.m. as follows:)
               THE COURT: All right. We'll call this status
 4
 5
     conference to order. It's now 3:04, and I think anybody else
 6
     who may be joining certainly can be admitted.
 7
               I have a couple of persons participating where we
 8
     don't have names. I'm going to ask if the person with the
 9
     phone number that ends in 92 can identify themselves.
               MR. SLATER: Good afternoon, Your Honor, it's Adam
10
11
     Slater.
12
               THE COURT: All right. Thanks. Thanks, Adam.
13
               And then I have a person with the phone number ending
     in 39.
14
               MR. GEDDIS: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
15
                                                          This is
16
     Chris Geddis with Mazie, Slater, Katz & Freeman.
17
               THE COURT: And then the person with the number
     ending in 92.
18
               MR. NIGH: Your Honor, I think that's Adam.
19
               MR. SLATER: Your Honor, this is me.
20
21
               THE COURT: I'm sorry, who is that?
22
               Who just spoke?
23
               MR. SLATER: Your Honor, it's Adam Slater. I'm the
     one with 9492 at the end.
24
25
               THE COURT: Okay. And also 6-0?
```

MS. LOCKARD: 6-0 is Victoria Lockard, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. I think we have everybody accounted for then. Thank you.

We have just a few items to address during today's status conference. About an hour ago, I sent to Mr. MacStravic an order for docketing in the Gaston Roberts matter. So that will be issued today setting the schedule, the pretrial schedule for Gaston Roberts.

I wanted to talk about the second wave or the trials that occur after the Roberts trial. And one of the questions I have is, having seen the Lexecon -- position statements with respect to Lexecon waivers, I am wondering whether we shouldn't return to -- I think it was the suggestion by Mr. Nigh. In any event, whether we shouldn't just pick five cases for trial that do not involve Mylan or Aurobindo API. And I guess I'll ask plaintiffs for their response first.

MR. NIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.

Yes. I think we even kind of foreshadowed this potential at the last hearing. And I think Your Honor realized, well, we might just come right back around to this. But I think that's where we've come to. We've come kind of full circle at this point, and I think that we should pick five new cases that are the ZHP API-only defendants and work those cases up.

Now, there will still be some complications with the

pharmacies, and we've talked about that. But I still think that, you know, there are way less complications there compared to if we have Mylan API and Aurobindo API. And that's where we should — that's where we should move next, is pick five ZHP API—only defendant cases. So ZHP, Teva or Torrent, and have that as our priority of second pool of cases.

I still don't think we should completely ignore Mylan or Aurobindo.

THE COURT: Oh, we're not going to.

MR. NIGH: Right.

THE COURT: We're not going to.

MR. NIGH: But those might need to be a wave three. And ultimately the game plan might have to be requesting a remand, and maybe there's a larger number of cases that are worked up as a wave three pool for a potential remand.

THE COURT: All right. Who wants to address that issue for Mylan and for Aurobindo?

MR. STOY: Good afternoon, Judge Vanaskie. This is Frank Stoy for Mylan. I don't think we necessarily have any disagreement with what Mr. Nigh is proposing. I think that it does make sense to perhaps have a wave three where, you know, those cases are worked up.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. STOY: But I agree with some of the issues that have been identified. If it's Judge Bumb's preference to

conduct trials in Camden for at least the first few cases, then

I think that is probably the most sensible path forward.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, if I may on behalf of ZHP --

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. DAVIDSON: -- since apparently the proposal is that we be in all of the phase two cases. It appears to me, Your Honor, that this would basically turn this into a ZHP MDL as opposed to valsartan MDL. And it seems like there might be another solution in terms of either picking five cases and working them all up -- some to be tried in this Court, some to be tried at remand.

I know some judges are willing to travel to other jurisdictions. I don't know if that would be of interest to Judge Bumb. But I have seen MDLs where you work up five cases and they're remanded. Some of them are remanded to the transfer courts, or if they're direct file cases, transferred to the court that has proper venue.

I just -- I hesitate at the thought of, you know, our client having to work up five cases while the rest of the MDL defendants are sitting on ice.

THE COURT: Well, it wouldn't be the rest of the MDL defendants, but I understand your concern. But the cases with Mylan and Aurobindo do present other issues that we don't have

in the cases involving ZHP, Teva and Torrent.

1.5

So what I'd like you to do is to submit to me the cases that have been filed that are ZHP, Teva and Torrent only, and I'll select five additional cases to be worked up for trial. And then we'll talk about a third wave, Jessica, that involve Mylan and Aurobindo, and go from there.

MR. NIGH: And, Your Honor, just to clarify, we did submit a list, but we can make it more simplistic. Out of the 28 cases, we submitted a list that showed the defendants that were involved in each of the cases, but we can truncate it to where it is only those that have the ZHP API defendants out of the 28.

THE COURT: If you could, that would be great.

MR. NIGH: Sure.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, is the idea that all five would be tried by the MDL court? Or is the idea that some of them would be then remanded to their transfer courts or transferred to another court if they're direct filed?

THE COURT: I have not conferred with Judge Bumb on this particular issue. So that remains to be seen.

MS. DAVIDSON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. LOCKARD: Also, Your Honor, Victoria Lockard. If we can have Mr. Nigh share that list with the defendants before he presents it to the Court, because I believe that a prior

list that was submitted had some errors in terms of defendants who had been dismissed for product ID reasons. So we can meet and confer on that, and then get a list that both sides agree on, that would maybe save some trouble down the road.

THE COURT: That makes sense to me.

MR. NIGH: Victoria, can you let us know which ones -- maybe you did it, but I don't remember seeing it -- which ones were dismissed that we had inaccuracies? Because we've already submitted that. We'll also send you what we believe are the list, but that spreadsheet that we have had all the defendants on it that we thought, though there could be some inaccuracies, for sure.

MS. LOCKARD: Okay. Thank you.

MS. DAVIDSON: Thank you.

And obviously, Your Honor, that still leaves the Lexecon issues with respect to the pharmacies which have not indicated that they would waive Lexecon, as far as I understand.

THE COURT: Right. Yeah. And I know there was a proposal or a suggestion made that the cases be tried as to only the manufacturer defendants, but I don't think that's workable. And so -- go ahead, Daniel.

MR. NIGH: Your Honor, I will say just briefly, there are MDLs where that's happened. I mean, opioids is a perfect example. They did precisely that. The cities and governments

had claims against multiple, you know, folks on the down — in the downstream supply. They had wholesalers. They had numerous pharmacies. They had manufacturers. But ultimately they realized that it made sense to have a case just against a certain pharmacy, you know, with that same city, have a case against just a wholesaler, because, you know, you can get obviously sort of the same precedential impact in knowing how, you know, juries would view a case against that defendant. And it helps for the first couple cases in terms of streamlining and getting those cases to trial as opposed to have all the issues at one time in the trial.

MS. KAPKE: Your Honor, may I be heard on that?

THE COURT: Yes, you may, Ms. Kapke.

MS. KAPKE: Thanks, Your Honor. This is Kara Kapke, liaison counsel for the pharmacy defendants.

I'm aware of that being the case in opioids, but there was independent liability asserted based on negligence principles, based on nuisance principles. Here, we're dealing with strictly pass—through liability. There are no negligence claims against the downstream defendants. And it makes, as you yourself said, it doesn't make any sense to me for there to be separate trials against the retailers. You'd have inconsistent judgments. And plaintiffs would essentially get multiple bites at the causation apple, which can't happen.

If there's a defense verdict for ZHP because there's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a lack of causation, plaintiffs don't get to retry the case then against the pharmacy defendants who have the same exact defenses.

Opioids was sui generis. It is not the case that you will have a personal injury case being claim split, where you have one trial against the manufacturer and then a second trial against a downstream defendant.

MR. NIGH: And, Your Honor, just brief, brief response to that. It's not just opioids. There's many different cases where you've had, you know, a supply chain and trials are selected just against one in the supply chain.

The idea of the causation two bites at the apple, that can actually work both ways. If we win the trial, it may not be binding against the pharmacy, too, in the same regards. So I don't see that as a, you know, an issue to say, oh, they would be prejudiced by this.

But it's done. It's done in multiple, you know, various different MDLs where this has occurred and where we've -- if Your Honor is considering it, we can submit where that's occurred.

We may not have to cross this bridge though because we don't know what the five random cases will show. There are some, you know, obviously cases that we're not even pursuing claims against the retailer based on who the retailer is and/or based on the state law. So we might not have this issue.

might be fighting about nothing.

1.5

Maybe we see the random picks and then come back and meet and confer and see if we can work it out.

THE COURT: Yeah, let's see what the random picks reveal. It probably will reveal cases that involve retailers. And then we'll have to address that issue. But we can kick that can down the road, so to speak, until we know what the cases are. All right.

MR. NIGH: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Where do things stand with respect to the Pate, P-A-T-E, case?

MR. NIGH: So we have spoken to the local counsel.

They are open to refiling the case in state court of New

Jersey. You know, they simply want to know that the statute is

protected based on the original filing date. We believe that's

the law in the state court of New Jersey.

I saw the response from defense counsel where they may have some dispute on that. And my suggestion has been that we can't speak on behalf of that individual counsel and their statute of limitations defenses. So I've asked them to meet and confer with Pate's counsel on that issue specifically.

THE COURT: All right. Who wants to address this issue for the defendants?

MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, my understanding of the law is a little bit different from Mr. Nigh's. My

understanding of the law is that if a case was improperly filed in federal court, the claims don't relate back when it's dismissed and refiled in state court. So I think we're just going to have to agree to disagree on that point. And I guess it will have to be briefed if they decide to refile in state court.

THE COURT: All right. Very well. So that's another matter we won't move forward on today.

Is there anything else to discuss with respect to the preparation of cases for trial?

You're going to get to me a list of the ZHP, Teva,
Torrent-only cases, and I'm going to use a random selector to
select five more cases to be identified for trial. I'll let
you know what those five cases are. You can let me know what
problems exist with respect to moving forward with respect to
those five cases, and we'll resolve those issues and move
forward.

With respect to the question of Jinsheng Lin's deposition, where do things stand with respect to that matter?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ \operatorname{SLATER}:$$  Hello, Your Honor. It's Adam Slater for the plaintiffs.

THE COURT: Hello, Adam.

MR. SLATER: Hi, Judge.

As stated in our letter, we would like to think this is a straightforward issue. These are documents that were

supposed to be produced all along. So we would just like the documents and then we just move along. We need them certainly for the deposition, and we also need them obviously just for the case in general. And the deposition just helps to focus that.

I know that the defense said something to the effect of that Jinsheng Lin wouldn't have seen the certificates of analysis, but that's inconsistent with our understanding. And if he's — his job is to figure out how an impurity forms in a drug, which is what his job was, then one of the logical places to look would be the certificates of analysis for the constituent ingredients to the manufacturing process, including the solvents, which would show what are the impurities that would be introduced such that he could then analyze what impurities could we expect to see and analyze the chemistry with the people in the company. That's what these documents are.

And they're fundamental documents, and we would just hope that ZHP would withdraw any opposition, to the extent they still have any, and just agree to get those documents to us as soon as possible so we then can turn to scheduling on the deposition.

THE COURT: All right. Jessica.

MS. DAVIDSON: Sure, Your Honor. Richard Bernardo could not be here today, but I did check in with him last night

to understand the status of this.

1.5

My understanding is that it's hugely burdensome if we're required to produce every single COA or MSDS because they are paper documents. There is one for every batch of certain chemicals for over a decade. And Rich has proposed that he meet and confer with plaintiffs in the next several days any time this week. He just wasn't available today. And hopefully the parties can resolve — compromise and figure out a way to get plaintiffs what they need without undue burden. If there's no resolution of that, we propose that we come back to Your Honor next week.

THE COURT: Next week?

MR. SLATER: Your Honor, I'm fine -- yes, Your Honor. I'm fine speaking to Mr. Bernardo about it. I'm just distressed to find out that these are paper documents that absolutely should have been produced and that they weren't, and I can't understand why. I'll be happy to talk to Mr. Bernardo. I have a sense, I understand, what compromise they're looking for. But I'm very concerned and a bit flabbergasted that there's some argument of burden when these were documents that should have been produced.

But the bottom line is, I will speak to them. I'd like to speak to them in the next few days, and then hopefully it gets worked out. If not, we can let Your Honor know by letter, I suppose.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: Go ahead, Jessica.
          MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, that all seems fine to me.
I don't want to belabor whether they should have been produced
before or not. My understanding is that they should not have
been produced before, but I think that's neither here nor
there.
          THE COURT:
                     Right.
                        I just -- I'm fine with the bottom
          MS. DAVIDSON:
line of what Mr. Slater said, which is that he and Rich will
catch up with each other hopefully tomorrow and figure this
out.
          THE COURT: And what I would like is a letter report
on this matter by next Wednesday.
          MS. DAVIDSON: Of course.
          MR. SLATER: Will do.
          MS. DAVIDSON:
                         Thank you.
          THE COURT: By the 10th, all right.
          And I think maybe you'll be able to resolve that
issue.
       Hopefully you'll be able to resolve it.
          I don't know that there's anything else to discuss
       Is there anything else on the plaintiffs' side?
ahead, Daniel.
          MR. NIGH: No, Your Honor, nothing else.
          THE COURT: All right. On the defense side?
                         We have nothing else, Your Honor.
          MS. DAVIDSON:
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
think there was a discussion with plaintiffs about how to
notice treater -- treating physician depositions, but hopefully
we'll just be able to work that out without involving you.
should be able to work something out.
          THE COURT: Yeah. Well, you've worked out a lot.
          MR. NIGH:
                    Yes.
          THE COURT: And the Gaston Roberts pretrial order was
basically worked out, and you're to be commended for that.
                                                            And
I'll look forward to getting the letter report next week.
Hopefully you'll work out this issue with respect to the
certificates of analysis and other document production issues
and can have Jinsheng Lin's deposition scheduled.
          Anything else to cover today?
          MR. NIGH: Not from the plaintiffs, Your Honor.
          MS. DAVIDSON: Not from us either, Your Honor.
                                                          Thank
you so much.
          THE COURT: All right. Thank you all very much.
          MR. NIGH:
                    Thank you, Your Honor.
          THE COURT:
                      Take care. Bye-bye.
          MR. SLATER: Thank you, Your Honor.
          THE COURT:
                      Thank you.
                                 Bye.
          (Proceedings concluded at 3:24 p.m.)
         FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
       I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
```

```
1
     from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
 2
 3
     /S/John J. Kurz, RDR-RMR-CRR-CRC
                                                     December 7, 2024
 4
     Court Reporter/Transcriber
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		108356	Ir	ndex: MR. GEDDISDAVIS
	<b>3:04</b> [2] 1/9 5/5	all [21]	bites [2] 11/23 12/12	Co [6] 1/15 1/19 1/22 2/4
MR. GEDDIS: [1] 5/15	3:04 p.m [1] 5/3	along [2] 15/1 15/2	Bogdan [1] 4/9	2/14 2/18
MR. NIGH: [15] 5/19	<b>3:24 p.m [1]</b> 18/22	already [1] 10/9	BOSICK [1] 3/2	Co-Lead [4] 1/15 1/19
6/17 7/10 7/12 9/7 9/14	4	<b>also</b> [ <b>5</b> ] 4/6 5/25 9/23	<b>both</b> [2] 10/3 12/13	1/22 2/4
10/6 10/23 12/8 13/9		10/9 15/3	<b>bottom [2]</b> 16/22 17/8	COA [1] 16/3
13/12 17/23 18/6 18/14	<b>42-128</b> [1] 2/13	<b>am</b> [1] 6/12	BRANCATO [1] 2/21	Cohen [1] 1/7
18/18	<b>45202</b> [1] 3/16	AmerisourceBergen [1]	bridge [1] 12/21	<b>collectively [4]</b> 2/15 2/19
MR. SLATER: [8] 5/10	<b>46204</b> [1] 3/12	3/16	brief [2] 12/8 12/8	2/23 3/8
5/20 5/23 14/20 14/23	4th [1] 1/7	analysis [3] 15/8 15/11	briefed [1] 14/5	come [5] 6/20 6/21 6/21
16/13 17/15 18/20	5	18/11	briefly [1] 10/23	13/2 16/10 Commencing [1] 1/9
<b>MR. STOY: [2]</b> 7/18	<b>515</b> [1] 2/6	analyze [2] 15/14 15/15 Angeles [1] 2/7	<b>Building [1]</b> 1/7 <b>Bumb [2]</b> 8/16 9/20	commended [1] 18/8
7/24	<b>576-7094</b> [1] 1/24	another [3] 8/11 9/19	Bumb's [1] 7/25	Committee [1] 2/8
MS. DAVIDSON: [13]		14/7	burden [2] 16/9 16/20	company [1] 15/16
8/4 8/7 9/16 9/22 10/14	6	any [6] 6/13 7/19 11/21	burdensome [1] 16/2	compared [1] 7/2
13/24 15/24 17/2 17/8	<b>6-0 [2]</b> 5/25 6/1	15/19 15/20 16/6	bye [3] 18/19 18/19 18/21	
17/14 17/16 17/25 18/15 <b>MS. KAPKE: [2]</b> 11/12	<b>600</b> [1] 3/15	anybody [1] 5/5	<b>Bye-bye</b> [1] 18/19	complications [2] 6/25
11/14	601 [1] 2/21	<b>anything [4]</b> 14/9 17/20	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	7/2
MS. LOCKARD: [3]	<b>60606 [1]</b> 3/19	17/21 18/13		compromise [2] 16/8
6/1 9/23 10/13	7	<b>API</b> [6] 6/15 6/23 7/3 7/3	California [1] 2/7	16/18
THE COURT: [36]	<b>701</b> [1] 1/21	7/5 9/11	call [1] 5/4	computer [1] 1/25
1	<b>70130</b> [1] 1/21	API-only [2] 6/23 7/5	Camden [2] 1/8 8/1	computer-aided [1] 1/25
<u>/</u>	<b>7094</b> [1] 1/24	apparently [1] 8/7 Appearances [2] 2/24	Camp [1] 1/21 can [18] 5/6 5/9 9/8 9/10	concern [1] 8/24 concerned [1] 16/19
/S/John [1] 19/3	<b>75201</b> [1] 4/4	3/24	9/24 10/2 10/6 11/6 12/13	
0		appears [1] 8/8	12/19 13/3 13/6 13/7	conduct [1] 8/1
	8	apple [2] 11/24 12/12	14/14 15/21 16/8 16/24	confer [4] 10/3 13/3
<b>07068</b> [1] 1/18 <b>08101</b> [1] 1/8	<b>856</b> [1] 1/24	are [21]	18/12	13/21 16/6
08540 [1] 3/22	9	argument [1] 16/20	can't [3] 11/24 13/19	<b>conference</b> [3] 1/5 5/5
00340 [1] 3/22		around [1] 6/20	16/17	6/5
1	<b>90071</b> [1] 2/7 <b>92</b> [2] 5/9 5/18	ARPS [2] 2/12 2/16	care [1] 18/19	conferred [1] 9/20
10001 [1] 2/14	<b>9492</b> [1] 5/24	ask [2] 5/8 6/15	CARR [1] 2/9	CONLEE [1] 1/20
<b>10022</b> [1] 2/22	99 [1] 2/10	asked [1] 13/20	case [11] 11/4 11/5 11/8	considering [1] 12/19
<b>103</b> [1] 1/18		asserted [1] 11/17 Atlanta [1] 3/7	11/16 12/1 12/4 12/5 13/11 13/13 14/1 15/4	constituent [1] 15/12 continued [5] 2/1 2/24
10th [1] 17/17	A	Aurobindo [6] 6/15 7/3	cases [32]	3/1 3/24 4/1
11 [1] 3/11	<b>able [4]</b> 17/18 17/19 18/3	7/8 7/17 8/25 9/6	catch [1] 17/10	Cooper [1] 1/7
1100 [1] 1/14	18/4	available [1] 16/7		Corey [1] 4/10
<b>128</b> [1] 2/13 <b>14</b> [1] 2/3	above [1] 19/1	Avenue [3] 2/17 2/21 4/3	12/12	Corp [1] 4/4
1440 [1] 2/17	above-entitled [1] 19/1	aware [1] 11/16	Centre [1] 3/3	correct [1] 18/25
1515 [1] 1/14	absolutely [1] 16/16	B	certain [2] 11/5 16/4	<b>could [5]</b> 9/13 10/11
15219 [1] 3/4	accounted [1] 6/3		certainly [2] 5/6 15/2	15/14 15/15 15/25
1550 [1] 2/7	Actavis [2] 3/8 3/8 ACTION [1] 1/3	back [4] 6/20 13/2 14/2	CERTIFICATE [1]	counsel [21]
<b>19102</b> [1] 1/15	actually [1] 12/13	16/10	18/23	couple [2] 5/7 11/9
1:19-md-02875-RMB-SA	ADAM [7] 1/17 5/10	<b>BARNES</b> [1] 3/9 based [5] 11/17 11/18	certificates [3] 15/7 15/11 18/11	course [1] 17/14 court [14] 1/1 1/23 8/12
<b>K</b> [1] 1/4	5/12 5/19 5/23 14/20	12/24 12/25 13/15	certify [1] 18/25	8/19 9/17 9/19 9/25 13/13
2	14/22	basically [2] 8/9 18/8	chain [2] 12/10 12/11	13/16 14/2 14/3 14/6
	additional [1] 9/4	batch [1] 16/4	check [1] 15/25	18/23 19/4
<b>20005</b> [1] 2/18 <b>20016</b> [1] 2/4	address [4] 6/4 7/16 13/6	because [6] 9/25 10/8	chemicals [1] 16/5	Courthouse [1] 1/7
<b>20010</b> [1] 2/4 <b>2024</b> [2] 1/8 19/3	13/22	11/6 11/25 12/21 16/3	chemistry [1] 15/15	Courtroom [1] 4/7
<b>207</b> [1] 1/18	admitted [1] 5/6	been [8] 7/25 9/3 10/2	Chicago [1] 3/19	courts [2] 8/18 9/18
<b>21</b> [1] 3/21	after [1] 6/10	13/18 16/16 16/21 17/3	Chris [1] 5/16	cover [1] 18/13
2200 [1] 4/3	<b>afternoon [3]</b> 5/10 5/15 7/18	17/5	CHRISTOPHER [1]	CRC [1] 19/3
<b>2220</b> [1] 3/18	against [12] 11/1 11/4	<b>before [4]</b> 5/1 9/24 17/4	1/17 Cincinnati [1], 2/16	cross [1] 12/21
<b>230</b> [1] 3/18	11/6 11/8 11/20 11/22	17/5 behalf [2] 8/4 13/19	Cincinnati [1] 3/16 circle [1] 6/22	CRR [1] 19/3 CVS [1] 3/12
<b>2500</b> [1] 3/6	12/2 12/6 12/7 12/11	BEHRAM [1] 2/6	cities [1] 10/25	
<b>28</b> [ <b>2</b> ] 9/9 9/12	12/14 12/24	being [2] 11/16 12/5	city [1] 11/5	D
<b>2800</b> [1] 3/15	ago [1] 6/5	belabor [1] 17/3	CIVIL [1] 1/3	D'LESLI [1] 4/2
3	agree [4] 7/24 10/3 14/4	believe [3] 9/25 10/10	claim [1] 12/5	<b>D.C</b> [2] 2/4 2/18
30305 [1] 3/7	15/20	13/15	claims [4] 11/1 11/20	Dallas [1] 4/4
<b>3333</b> [1] 3/6	ahead [3] 10/22 17/1	Bernardo [3] 15/24	12/24 14/2	DANIEL [4] 2/2 2/5
<b>33950</b> [1] 2/10	17/22	16/14 16/17	clarify [1] 9/7	10/22 17/22
<b>3600</b> [1] 4/3	aided [1] 1/25 ALEXIA [1] 2/21	BERNE [1] 3/14	Class [2] 1/22 2/4	date [1] 13/15
<b>38th</b> [1] 3/3	ALFANO [1] 3/2	binding [1] 12/14	Clerk [1] 4/8	DAVIS [2] 2/6 4/2
<b>39</b> [1] 5/14		<b>bit</b> [ <b>2</b> ] 13/25 16/19	client [1] 8/21	<b>DAVIS</b> [2] 3/6 4/2

		108357		Index: daysmd
D	example [1] 10/25	happen [1] 11/24	individual [1] 13/19	least [1] 8/1
days [2] 16/6 16/23	Executive [1] 2/8	happened [1] 10/24	Industries [1] 3/7	leaves [1] 10/15
dealing [1] 11/18	exist [1] 14/15	<b>happy</b> [1] 16/17	ingredients [1] 15/12	less [1] 7/2
decade [1] 16/5	expect [1] 15/15	has [4] 8/19 12/18 13/18	injury [1] 12/5	<b>let [4]</b> 10/6 14/13 14/14
<b>December [2]</b> 1/8 19/3	<b>extent [1]</b> 15/19	16/5	interest [1] 8/15	16/24
decide [1] 14/5	F	have [43]	introduced [1] 15/14	let's [1] 13/4
<b>defendant [6]</b> 3/4 3/19		having [2] 6/11 8/21	involve [3] 6/15 9/6 13/5	letter [4] 14/24 16/25
4/4 7/5 11/8 12/7	<b>FALKENBERG</b> [1] 3/17 <b>far</b> [1] 10/17	ne [5] 9/25 15/14 16/5 16/7 17/9	involved [1] 9/10	17/12 18/9
defendants [20]	FARR [2] 2/9 2/9	he's [1] 15/9	involving [2] 9/1 18/3 IRBESARTAN [1] 1/4	<b>Lexecon [4]</b> 6/11 6/12 10/16 10/17
<b>defense [4]</b> 11/25 13/17	federal [2] 14/2 18/23		issue [10] 7/17 9/21 12/15	
15/6 17/24	few [3] 6/4 8/1 16/23	heard [1] 11/12	12/25 13/6 13/21 13/23	liability [3] 1/5 11/17
defenses [2] 12/3 13/20	<b>fighting [1]</b> 13/1	hearing [1] 6/19	14/25 17/19 18/10	11/19
<b>deposition [5]</b> 14/19 15/3	Figueroa [1] 2/6	held [1] 5/1	issued [1] 6/7	liaison [1] 11/15
15/4 15/22 18/12 depositions [1] 18/2	figure [3] 15/9 16/8	Hello [2] 14/20 14/22	issues [6] 7/24 8/25 10/16	
Deputy [1] 4/7	17/10	helps [2] 11/9 15/4	11/11 14/16 18/11	15/1 16/23 17/12
did [4] 9/7 10/7 10/25	file [1] 8/18	here [3] 11/18 15/25 17/5		limitations [1] 13/20
15/25	filed [3] 9/3 9/19 14/1	hesitate [1] 8/20	IVES [2] 3/17 3/18	Lin [1] 15/7
<b>different [3]</b> 12/10 12/18	filing [1] 13/15	Hetero [2] 3/22 3/22	J	Lin's [2] 14/18 18/12
13/25	find [1] 16/15	Hi [1] 14/23	JASON [1] 3/3	line [2] 16/22 17/9
direct [2] 8/18 9/19	<b>fine [4]</b> 16/13 16/14 17/2 17/8	HILL [1] 3/20 him [1] 15/25	JEFFREY [1] 3/14	<b>list [7]</b> 9/8 9/9 9/24 10/1 10/3 10/10 14/11
disagree [1] 14/4	first [3] 6/16 8/1 11/9	his [2] 15/9 15/10	JERSEY [6] 1/1 1/8 1/18	
disagreement [1] 7/20	five [12] 6/14 6/22 7/4	HOLMES [1] 2/9	3/22 13/14 13/16	little [1] 13/25
discuss [2] 14/9 17/20	8/11 8/16 8/21 9/4 9/16	HONIK [2] 1/13 1/14	JESSICA [4] 2/12 9/5	LLC [6] 1/13 1/16 1/20
<b>discussion [1]</b> 18/1 <b>dismissed [3]</b> 10/2 10/8	12/22 14/13 14/14 14/16	Honor [35]	15/23 17/1	2/15 2/19 3/8
14/3	flabbergasted [1] 16/19	<b>HONORABLE [3]</b> 1/10	<b>Jinsheng [3]</b> 14/18 15/7	LLP [11] 2/5 2/12 2/16
dispute [1] 13/18	FLOM [2] 2/12 2/16	4/8 5/2	18/12	2/20 3/2 3/5 3/9 3/14 3/17
distressed [1] 16/15	Floor [2] 2/3 3/3	hope [1] 15/19	job [2] 15/9 15/10	3/20 4/2
<b>DISTRICT</b> [2] 1/1 1/1	Florida [1] 2/10 focus [1] 15/4	hopefully [6] 16/7 16/23	<b>John [3]</b> 1/23 1/23 19/3 <b>joining [1]</b> 5/6	local [1] 13/12
docketing [1] 6/6	folks [1] 11/1	17/10 17/19 18/2 18/10 hour [1] 6/5	Judge [5] 7/18 7/25 8/16	LOCKARD [3] 3/6 6/1 9/23
document [1] 18/11	follows [1] 5/3	Huahai [4] 2/14 2/15	9/20 14/23	logical [1] 15/10
documents [8] 14/25 15/2	foregoing [1] 18/25	2/18 2/19	judges [1] 8/14	look [2] 15/11 18/9
15/16 15/18 15/20 16/4	foreshadowed [1] 6/18	hugely [1] 16/2	judgments [1] 11/23	looking [1] 16/18
16/15 16/20 does [1] 7/21	forms [1] 15/9	Humana [1] 3/19	Judicial [1] 4/8	Loretta [1] 4/8
doesn't [1] 11/21	forward [5] 8/2 14/8	I	juries [1] 11/8	Los [1] 2/7
done [2] 12/17 12/17	14/15 14/17 18/9		jurisdictions [1] 8/15	LOSARTAN [1] 1/3
down [3] 10/4 11/1 13/7	FRANK [2] 3/2 7/19	I'd [2] 9/2 16/22	just [23]	Loss [2] 1/22 2/4
downstream [3] 11/2	FREEMAN [2] 1/16 5/16 FULBRIGHT [1] 4/2	16/17 18/9	K	lot [1] 18/5 Louisiana [1] 1/21
11/20 12/7	full [1] 6/22	I'm [10] 5/8 5/21 5/23	KANNER [1] 1/20	Ltd [4] 2/15 2/19 2/23
drug [1] 15/10	fundamental [1] 15/18	11/16 14/12 16/13 16/14	<b>KAPKE [3]</b> 3/10 11/13	3/7
Drugs [1] 3/22	<u> </u>	16/14 16/19 17/8	11/14	
during [1] 6/4	G	I've [1] 13/20	<b>KARA [2]</b> 3/10 11/14	<u>M</u>
$\mathbf{E}$	game [1] 7/13	ice [1] 8/22	Kass [1] 4/11	MacStravic [2] 4/7 6/5
each [2] 9/10 17/10	Gaston [3] 6/6 6/8 18/7	<b>ID</b> [1] 10/2	KATZ [2] 1/16 5/16	made [2] 10/20 11/4
<b>Economic [2]</b> 1/22 2/4	<b>GEDDIS</b> [2] 1/17 5/16 general [1] 15/4	idea [3] 9/16 9/17 12/12 identified [2] 7/25 14/13	kick [1] 13/6 kind [2] 6/18 6/21	make [3] 7/21 9/8 11/21 makes [2] 10/5 11/20
effect [1] 15/6	generis [1] 12/4	identify [1] 5/9	KIRKLAND [1] 2/20	Manhattan [1] 2/13
<b>Eisenhower [1]</b> 1/18	GEOPPINGER [1] 3/14	ignore [1] 7/7	KIRSTIN [1] 3/18	manufacturer [2] 10/21
either [2] 8/11 18/15	<b>GEORGE</b> [1] 2/9	<b>Illinois</b> [1] 3/19	know [24]	12/6
ELLIS [1] 2/20	Georgia [1] 3/7	impact [1] 11/7	knowing [1] 11/7	manufacturers [1] 11/3
else [7] 5/5 14/9 17/20 17/21 17/23 17/25 18/13	gets [1] 16/24	improperly [1] 14/1	KRISTEN [1] 3/10	manufacturing [1] 15/12
EMERICH [1] 2/9	getting [2] 11/10 18/9	impurities [2] 15/13	Kugler [1] 4/8	many [1] 12/9
end [1] 5/24	GOLDENBERG [2] 2/2	15/15	<b>Kurz [3]</b> 1/23 1/23 19/3	Market [1] 1/14
ending [2] 5/13 5/18	2/3 Good [3] 5/10 5/15 7/18	impurity [1] 15/9	L	MARLENE [1] 2/3
ends [1] 5/9	Good [3] 3/10 3/13 //18 Gorda [1] 2/10	inaccuracies [2] 10/8 10/12	Labs [1] 3/22	MASTER [2] 1/10 5/2 matter [5] 6/6 14/8 14/19
entitled [1] 19/1	GORDON [1] 3/2	Inc [9] 2/15 2/15 2/19	lack [1] 12/1	17/13 19/1
errors [1] 10/1	governments [1] 10/25	2/19 2/22 3/4 3/8 3/8 3/12		may [7] 5/6 8/4 11/12
ESQUIRE [25] essentially [1] 11/23	great [1] 9/13	including [1] 15/12	Larry [1] 4/7	11/13 12/13 12/21 13/18
essentially [1] 11/23 even [2] 6/18 12/23	GREENBERG [1] 3/5	inconsistent [2] 11/22	last [2] 6/19 15/25	maybe [5] 7/14 10/4 10/7
event [1] 6/14	guess [2] 6/15 14/4	15/8	law [5] 4/8 12/25 13/16	13/2 17/18
every [2] 16/3 16/4	H	independent [1] 11/17	13/25 14/1 Lood [4], 1/15 1/10 1/22	MAZIE [2] 1/16 5/16
everybody [1] 6/2	HACKETT [1] 2/9	Indianapolis [1] 3/12 indicated [1] 10/17	<b>Lead [4]</b> 1/15 1/19 1/22 2/4	Mckesson [1] 4/4 md [1] 1/4
exact [1] 12/2		maraca [1] 10/1/	· ·	11104 [1] 1/T
1	İ	İ		İ

		108358		Index: MDLSLATE
M	NINA [1] 2/17	Pate [1] 13/11	product [1] 10/2	<b>RICHER [1]</b> 3/10
MDL [7] 1/15 1/19 8/9	njd.uscourts.gov [1] 1/23		<b>production</b> [1] 18/11	<b>Ridge</b> [1] 2/3
8/10 8/21 8/23 9/17	<b>no [3]</b> 11/19 16/10 17/23	path [1] 8/2	PRODUCTS [1] 1/4	right [16] 5/4 5/12 6/20
MDLs [3] 8/16 10/24	NORTON [1] 4/2	<b>PAUL</b> [1] 3/11	<b>proper [1]</b> 8/19	7/10 7/16 8/3 9/15 10/19
12/18	<b>not</b> [ <b>17</b> ] 6/15 7/9 7/11	Payor [2] 1/22 2/4	<b>proposal [2]</b> 8/7 10/20	13/8 13/22 14/7 15/23
me [8] 5/20 8/8 9/2 10/5	9/20 10/16 12/4 12/9	Pennsylvania [2] 1/15	<b>propose</b> [1] 16/10	17/7 17/17 17/24 18/17
11/21 14/11 14/14 17/2	12/14 12/21 12/23 12/25	3/4	proposed [1] 16/5	RMB [1] 1/4
MEAGHER [2] 2/12	15/25 16/24 17/4 17/4	people [1] 15/16	proposing [1] 7/20	RMR [1] 19/3
2/16	18/14 18/15	perfect [1] 10/24	protected [1] 13/15	road [4] 3/6 3/21 10/4
mean [1] 10/24	nothing [3] 13/1 17/23	perhaps [1] 7/21	Punta [1] 2/10	13/7
mechanical [1] 1/25	17/25	person [3] 5/8 5/13 5/17	<b>pursuing [1]</b> 12/23	Robert [1] 4/8
meet [4] 10/2 13/3 13/20	notice [1] 18/2 now [2] 5/5 6/25	personal [1] 12/5	Q	<b>Roberts [4]</b> 6/6 6/8 6/10 18/7
16/6	nuisance [1] 11/18	persons [1] 5/7 Pharma [2] 2/22 3/8	question [1] 14/18	ROSE [2] 2/17 4/2
<b>Meridian [1]</b> 3/11	number [5] 1/3 5/9 5/13	Pharmaceutical [5] 2/14	questions [1] 6/10	Roseland [1] 1/18
might [6] 6/20 7/12 7/13	5/17 7/14	2/15 2/18 2/19 3/7	QUINCY [1] 3/11	<b>Rosemarie</b> [1] 4/9
8/10 12/25 13/1	numerous [1] 11/3	Pharmaceuticals [3] 2/23		Ross [1] 4/3
Mitchell [1] 1/7	NW [1] 2/3	3/4 3/8	R	Roszel [1] 3/21
Monroe [1] 3/18		pharmacies [3] 7/1 10/16	random [4] 12/22 13/2	RUBEN [1] 1/14
more [2] 9/8 14/13	0	11/3	13/4 14/12	RUEB [1] 2/5
most [1] 8/2 move [4] 7/4 14/8 14/16	<b>obviously [4]</b> 10/15 11/7	pharmacy [5] 3/12 11/5	RASO [1] 2/2	
15/2	12/23 15/3	11/15 12/2 12/14	RASPANTI [1] 3/2	S
moving [1] 14/15	occur [1] 6/10	phase [1] 8/8	<b>RDR</b> [1] 19/3	said [3] 11/21 15/6 17/9
Mr. [8] 6/5 6/13 7/20	occurred [2] 12/18 12/20		RDR-RMR-CRR-CRC	SAK [1] 1/4
9/24 13/25 16/14 16/17	Official [2] 1/23 18/23	<b>phone</b> [2] 5/9 5/13	[1] 19/3	same [4] 11/5 11/7 12/2
17/9	oh [2] 7/9 12/15	physician [1] 18/2	<b>RE</b> [1] 1/3	12/14
Mr. Bernardo [2] 16/14	Ohio [1] 3/16	pick [3] 6/14 6/22 7/4	realized [2] 6/20 11/4	save [1] 10/4
16/17	Okay [4] 5/25 6/2 9/22	picking [1] 8/11	reasons [1] 10/2	saw [1] 13/17
Mr. MacStravic [1] 6/5	10/13	picks [2] 13/2 13/4	record [1] 19/1	say [2] 10/23 12/15
Mr. Nigh [3] 6/13 7/20	one [9] 2/13 3/3 5/24 6/10		recorded [1] 1/25	schedule [2] 6/7 6/8
9/24	11/11 12/6 12/11 15/10 16/4	PIETRAGALLO [1] 3/2	refile [1] 14/5	scheduled [1] 18/12 scheduling [1] 15/21
Mr. Nigh's [1] 13/25	ones [2] 10/7 10/8	Pittsburgh [1] 3/4 places [1] 15/10	refiled [1] 14/3	second [3] 6/9 7/6 12/6
<b>Mr. Slater [1]</b> 17/9		plaintiffs [12] 1/15 1/19	refiling [1] 13/13	see [5] 12/15 13/2 13/3
<b>Ms.</b> [1] 11/13	10/21 14/12	2/8 2/11 6/16 11/23 12/1	regards [1] 12/14	13/4 15/15
Ms. Kapke [1] 11/13	open [1] 13/13	14/21 16/6 16/9 18/1	relate [1] 14/2	seeing [1] 10/7
MSDS [1] 16/3	opioids [4] 10/24 11/16	18/14	remains [1] 9/21	seems [2] 8/10 17/2
much [2] 18/16 18/17	12/4 12/9	plaintiffs' [2] 2/8 17/21	remand [3] 7/14 7/15	seen [4] 6/11 8/16 9/21
multiple [3] 11/1 11/23	opposed [2] 8/10 11/10	plan [1] 7/13	8/13	15/7
12/17 <b>MURTHA [1]</b> 3/21	<b>opposition</b> [1] 15/19	PLLC [1] 2/2	remanded [3] 8/17 8/17	select [2] 9/4 14/13
my [5] 13/18 13/24 13/25	order [3] 5/5 6/6 18/7	point [2] 6/22 14/4	9/18	selected [1] 12/11
16/2 17/4	original [1] 13/13	<b>pool</b> [2] 7/6 7/15	remember [1] 10/7	selector [1] 14/12
Mylan [8] 3/4 6/15 7/3	<b>Orleans</b> [1] 1/21	<b>position</b> [1] 6/11	report [2] 17/12 18/9	send [1] 10/9
7/7 7/17 7/19 8/25 9/6	other [4] 8/14 8/25 17/10	possible [1] 15/21	Reporter [2] 1/23 19/4	sense [5] 7/21 10/5 11/4
	18/11	potential [2] 6/19 7/15	<b>REPORTER'S</b> [1] 18/23	11/21 16/18
<u>N</u>	our [4] 7/6 8/20 14/24	precedential [1] 11/7	Reporter/Transcriber [1]	
N.W [1] 2/17	15/8	precisely [1] 10/25	19/4	sent [1] 6/5
names [1] 5/8	out [13] 9/8 9/11 13/3 15/9 16/8 16/15 16/24	preference [1] 7/25 prejudiced [1] 12/16	requesting [1] 7/13 required [1] 16/3	separate [1] 11/22 setting [1] 6/7
<b>NE</b> [1] 3/6	17/11 18/3 18/4 18/5 18/8		resolution [1] 16/10	several [1] 16/6
necessarily [1] 7/19	18/10	present [2] 4/6 8/25	resolve [4] 14/16 16/8	share [1] 9/24
need [4] 7/12 15/2 15/3	over [1] 16/5	presents [1] 9/25	17/18 17/19	should [9] 6/22 7/4 7/4
16/9	Oxford [1] 3/3	pretrial [2] 6/7 18/7	respect [9] 6/12 10/16	7/7 16/16 16/21 17/3 17/4
negligence [2] 11/17		Princeton [1] 3/22	13/10 14/9 14/15 14/15	18/4
11/19	<u>P</u>	principles [2] 11/18	14/18 14/19 18/10	shouldn't [2] 6/12 6/14
neither [1] 17/5 Nesbit [1] 2/10	P-A-T-E [1] 13/11	11/18	response [3] 6/16 12/9	show [2] 12/22 15/13
new [13] 1/1 1/8 1/18	<b>p.m [3]</b> 1/9 5/3 18/22	<b>Prinston [2]</b> 2/15 2/19	13/17	showed [1] 9/9
1/21 2/14 2/14 2/17 2/22	page [2] 2/24 3/24	<b>prior</b> [1] 9/25	rest [2] 8/21 8/23	side [2] 17/21 17/24
2/22 3/22 6/23 13/13	paper [2] 16/4 16/15	priority [1] 7/6	<b>RET</b> [3] 1/10 4/8 5/2	sides [1] 10/3
13/16	PAREKH [1] 2/6	probably [2] 8/2 13/5	retailer [3] 3/12 12/24	simplistic [1] 9/8
next [9] 2/24 3/24 7/4	Parkway [1] 1/18	problems [1] 14/15	12/24	simply [1] 13/14
16/6 16/11 16/12 16/23	participating [1] 5/7	proceedings [4] 1/25 5/1	retailers [2] 11/22 13/5	since [1] 8/7
17/13 18/9	particular [1] 9/21	18/22 19/1	retry [1] 12/1	single [1] 16/3
NIGH [5] 2/2 2/2 6/13	parties [1] 16/8	process [1] 15/12	return [1] 6/13	sitting [1] 8/22
7/20 9/24	Party [2] 1/22 2/4 pass [1] 11/19	produce [1] 16/3	reveal [2] 13/5 13/5 Rich [2] 16/5 17/9	SKADDEN [2] 2/12 2/16 SLATE [2] 2/12 2/16
Nigh's [1] 13/25	pass [1] 11/19 pass-through [1] 11/19	<b>produced [6]</b> 1/25 15/1 16/16 16/21 17/3 17/5	Rich [2] 10/3 1//9 Richard [1] 15/24	SLATE [2] 2/12 2/16 SLATER [7] 1/16 1/17
night [1] 15/25	Puss-un vugn [1] 11/19	10/10/10/21/1/3/1//3	incharu [1] 13/24	ODATEK[/] 1/10 1/1/
				I

		108359		
S	Т	U	wholesalers [1] 11/2	
			why [1] 16/17	
<b>SLATER</b> [ <b>5</b> ] 5/11 5/16 5/23 14/20 17/9	talk [3] 6/9 9/5 16/17	<b>U.S</b> [ <b>5</b> ] 1/7 2/15 2/15 2/19 2/19	will [10] 6/7 6/25 10/23	
Smith [1] 4/8			12/5 12/22 13/5 14/5	
so [16] 6/6 7/5 9/2 9/21	talked [1] 7/1 Teams [2] 1/6 5/1	<b>ULMER [1]</b> 3/14 <b>ultimately [2]</b> 7/13 11/3	16/22 17/9 17/15	
10/2 10/22 12/15 12/25	terms [3] 8/11 10/1 11/9	understand [5] 8/24	WILLIAM [1] 3/21	
13/7 13/12 13/20 14/3	Teva [7] 3/7 3/8 3/8 7/5	10/18 16/1 16/17 16/18	WILLIAMSON [1] 2/9	
14/7 15/1 15/21 18/16	9/1 9/3 14/11	understanding [5] 13/24	willing [1] 8/14	
Solco [2] 2/15 2/19	Texas [1] 4/4	14/1 15/8 16/2 17/4	win [1] 12/13	
solution [1] 8/11	Thank [12] 6/3 6/17 9/22	undue [1] 16/9	withdraw [1] 15/19	
solvents [1] 15/13	10/13 10/14 13/9 17/16	UNITED [1] 1/1	without [2] 16/9 18/3	
some [13] 6/25 7/24 8/12	18/15 18/17 18/18 18/20	until [1] 13/7	won't [1] 14/8	
8/12 8/14 8/17 9/17 10/1	18/21	up [8] 6/24 7/15 7/22	wondering [1] 6/12	
10/4 10/12 12/23 13/18	Thanks [4] 5/12 5/12 6/2	8/12 8/16 8/21 9/4 17/10	work [8] 6/23 8/16 8/21	
16/20	11/14	us [4] 4/2 10/6 15/20	12/13 13/3 18/3 18/4	
something [2] 15/6 18/4	them [8] 8/12 8/17 9/18	18/15	18/10	
soon [1] 15/21	13/20 15/2 15/3 16/22	USA [1] 3/8	workable [1] 10/22	
sorry [1] 5/21	16/23	use [1] 14/12	worked [6] 7/15 7/22 9/4	
sort [1] 11/7	themselves [1] 5/9		16/24 18/5 18/8	
South [1] 3/11	these [4] 14/25 15/16	V	working [1] 8/12	
speak [4] 13/7 13/19	16/15 16/20	valsartan [2] 1/3 8/10	wouldn't [2] 8/23 15/7	
16/22 16/23	they're [5] 8/17 8/18 9/19	VANASKIE [3] 1/10 5/2	Y	
speaking [1] 16/14	15/18 16/18	7/18	Yeah [3] 10/19 13/4 18/5	
SPECIAL [2] 1/10 5/2	things [2] 13/10 14/19	various [1] 12/18	yes [5] 6/18 8/6 11/13	
specifically [1] 13/21	think [19] 5/5 5/19 6/2	VAUGHN [1] 2/2	16/13 18/6	
<b>split</b> [1] 12/5	6/13 6/18 6/19 6/21 6/22	<b>venue</b> [ <b>1</b> ] 8/19	York [5] 2/14 2/14 2/17	
spoke [1] 5/22	7/1 7/7 7/19 7/20 8/2	verdict [1] 11/25	2/22 2/22	
<b>spoken [1]</b> 13/12	10/21 14/3 14/24 17/5	very [3] 14/7 16/19 18/17	you [38]	
spreadsheet [1] 10/10	17/18 18/1	<b>via</b> [ <b>2</b> ] 1/6 5/1	You'd [1] 11/22	
<b>Square</b> [1] 2/3	third [4] 1/22 2/3 2/4 9/5	VICTORIA [4] 3/6 6/1	you'll [3] 17/18 17/19	
stand [2] 13/10 14/19	<b>Third-Party</b> [2] 1/22 2/4	9/23 10/6	18/10	
state [5] 12/25 13/13	this [23]	videoconferencing [2]	you've [2] 12/10 18/5	
13/16 14/3 14/5	THOMAS [2] 1/10 5/2	1/6 5/1	yourself [1] 11/21	
stated [1] 14/24	<b>THORNBURG</b> [1] 3/9	view [1] 11/8		
statements [1] 6/11	though [2] 10/11 12/21	Vine [1] 3/15	Z	
STATES [1] 1/1	thought [2] 8/20 10/11	W	<b>Zalman [1]</b> 4/11	
status [4] 1/5 5/4 6/5 16/1		waive [1] 10/17	<b>Zhejiang</b> [2] 2/14 2/18	
statute [2] 13/14 13/20	through [1] 11/19 time [2] 11/11 16/7	waivers [1] 6/12	<b>ZHP</b> [13] 2/15 2/19 6/23	
stenography [1] 1/25	today [6] 6/7 14/8 15/25	Walgreens [1] 3/13	7/4 7/5 8/5 8/9 9/1 9/3	
still [5] 6/25 7/1 7/7 10/15 15/20	16/7 17/21 18/13	WALLACK [1] 3/20	9/11 11/25 14/11 15/19	
STOLLER [1] 2/5	today's [1] 6/4	Wallack [1] 3/20 Walmart [1] 3/13		
STOLLER [1] 2/3 STOY [2] 3/2 7/19	tomorrow [1] 17/10	want [2] 13/14 17/3		
straightforward [1]	too [1] 12/14	want [2] 13/14 17/3 wanted [1] 6/9		
14/25	Torrent [7] 2/22 2/23	wants [2] 7/16 13/22		
streamlining [1] 11/9	2/23 7/5 9/1 9/3 14/12	Washington [2] 2/4 2/18		
Street [7] 1/14 1/21 2/6	Torrent-only [1] 14/12	wasn't [1] 16/7		
2/10 3/11 3/15 3/18	Transcriber [1] 19/4	wave [5] 6/9 7/12 7/15		
Streets [1] 1/7	transcript [2] 1/25 18/25	7/21 9/5		
strictly [1] 11/19	transcription [1] 1/25	way [2] 7/2 16/8		
submit [3] 9/2 9/8 12/19	transfer [2] 8/18 9/18	ways [1] 12/13		
submitted [3] 9/9 10/1	transferred [2] 8/18 9/19	we'll [6] 5/4 9/5 10/9		
10/9	TRAURIG [1] 3/5	13/6 14/16 18/3		
such [1] 15/14	travel [1] 8/14	we've [5] 6/21 6/21 7/1		
suggestion [3] 6/13 10/20		10/9 12/19		
13/18	treating [1] 18/2	Wednesday [2] 1/8 17/13		
sui [1] 12/4	<b>trial</b> [ <b>10</b> ] 6/10 6/14 9/5	week [4] 16/7 16/11		
Suite [7] 1/14 1/18 2/7	11/10 11/11 12/6 12/6	16/12 18/9		
3/6 3/15 3/18 4/3	12/13 14/10 14/13	<b>Weinstein [1]</b> 4/10		
Suites [1] 2/13	trials [4] 6/9 8/1 11/22	weren't [1] 16/16		
supply [3] 11/2 12/10	12/11	West [1] 2/13		
12/11	tried [4] 8/12 8/13 9/17	whether [3] 6/12 6/14		
suppose [1] 16/25	10/20	17/3		
<b>supposed</b> [1] 15/1	trouble [1] 10/4	while [1] 8/21		
sure [4] 7/23 9/14 10/12	truncate [1] 9/10	WHITELEY [2] 1/20		
15/24	turn [2] 8/9 15/21	1/20		
	two [2] 8/8 12/12	wholesaler [2] 3/16 11/6		

United States District Court